| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 09/480,544 | KENTEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Carla Myers | 1634 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) <u>Carla Myers</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) William Strauss. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 November 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | olicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | • | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE The examiner requested that applicants submit a CRF and p and CRF copies of the sequencing listing are the same. | | |
| Part III. | | ** |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum | The examiner will provide at the record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| * | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | cant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |